



# City of Loma Linda Official Report

Robert Christman, Mayor  
Stan Brauer, Mayor pro tempore  
Floyd Petersen, Councilmember  
Robert Ziprick, Councilmember  
Rhodes Rigsby, Councilmember

COUNCIL AGENDA: August 22, 2006  
TO: City Council  
VIA: Dennis R. Halloway, City Manager  
FROM: Pamela Byrnes-O'Camb, City Clerk  
SUBJECT: Minutes of June 27; July 17, 18, & 25, 2006

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council approve the Minutes of June 27; July 17, 18, & 25, 2006.

Regular Meeting of June 27, 2006

A regular meeting of the City Council was called to order by Mayor pro tempore Brauer at 5:50 p.m., Tuesday, June 27, 2006, in the City Council Chamber, 25541 Barton Road, Loma Linda, California.

Councilmen Present:	Mayor pro tempore Stan Brauer Robert Christman Robert Ziprick Charles Umeda
Councilman Absent:	Mayor Floyd Petersen
Others Present:	City Manager Dennis R. Halloway City Attorney Richard E. Holdaway

CC-2006-122 – Closed Session

- a. Conference with Labor Negotiator Dennis R. Halloway pertaining to Meet and Confer process relating to San Bernardino Public Employees Association; Professional and Administrative Employees Association; Loma Linda Firefighters Local 3603; and Unrepresented Employees (Government Code Section 54957.6)
- b. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation – Daniel Chinchay, et al., vs. Kari Verjil, et al. – Case No. CV 06-1636 ABC (JWJx) (Government Code Section 54956.9(a))
- c. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation – Noel Christensen et al., v. City of Loma Linda et al., Case No. E038109 (Government Code Section 54956.9(a))

The City Council recessed to consider the closed session items as listed and reconvened at 7:06 p.m. with all members present. City Attorney Holdaway stated that the City Council gave direction relating to the Meet and Confer item and there was no final action to report. As to Item “b,” there was no action to report and as to Item “c,” he reported that the decision from the Court of Appeal was final and was favorable to the City.

Councilman Christman led the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. No items were added or deleted.

Oral Reports/Public Participation

Dick Wiley, 10848 Pepper Way, complimented the candidates on expeditiously removing campaign signs.

Conflict of Interest

Councilman Ziprick declared a possible conflict of interest relating to the public hearing for proposed development on the north side of Mound Street because of a work relationship with Loma Linda University and also on the report and consideration of the Save Loma Linda Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure as it pertained to the LLUAHSC exemption. Mayor pro tempore Brauer declared a possible conflict of interest relating to the same items because of his affiliation with Loma Linda University and the proximity of his residence to the South Hills.

The Rule of Necessity was invoked for both items, resulting in Councilman Ziprick sitting to constitute a quorum and voting regarding the proposed development on the north side of Mound Street, and Mayor pro tempore Brauer sitting to constitute a quorum and voting regarding the Save Loma Linda item.

Scheduled and Related Items

CC-2006-123 – Public Hearing – Appeal of Planning Commission decision to deny Precise Plan of Design 05-04 for the development of 5.90 acres located between the San Timoteo Flood Control Channel, the Southern Pacific Railroad, the Mountain View Avenue Overcrossing and the community of Bryn Mawr into 623 storage units totaling 92,305 square feet and one on-site 1,500 square-foot manager’s suite with site access from the Mountain View Avenue Overcrossing via Juanita Street

The public hearing was opened and Planning Technician Penaflorida presented the report into evidence, stating the proposed project was located within a Commercial Zone. He then showed the elevations and site plan for the proposed project, noting that the primary reason for Planning Commission denial of the project was proposed access from the Mt. View Overcrossing because of the volume and speed of traffic on the north-bound lane of the Overcrossing as well as lack of site distance at the proposed ingress/egress point. He then recommended that the City Council uphold the Planning Commission decision based on the findings contained in the staff report.



In response to comments, City Attorney Holdaway stated that the statement made by the applicant's representative that the City was to provide access was inaccurate in that the proposed use of the property was a higher use that would create traffic for the site that didn't currently exist. The developer would be required to mitigate development impacts associated with the proposed development.

Christopher Lockwood, representing applicant Rene Jacober, addressed the City Council, stating that the use was compatible with the zoning and the issue was access to the site. Self-Storage was the lowest possible use for the property. The site was adjacent to a dedicated street and therefore access was available.

Councilman Christman countered that the access as proposed was dangerous because of site distance, speed and volume of traffic. Mr. Lockwood indicated that his client was willing to improve the site with possibly a traffic signal, redesign of Juanita Street, and right-turn in and out only.

Councilman Ziprick asked about the length of time required for a vehicle to slow enough to make the turn into the site safely. The Traffic Engineer for the applicant stated that a vehicle could safely access the property at 25 miles per hour; the current speed limit was 35 miles per hour.

Dick Wiley, 10848 Pepper Way, also spoke.

No other public testimony was offered and the public hearing was closed.

**Motion by Christman, seconded by Umeda to uphold the Planning Commission's decision to deny the project based upon the findings contained in the staff report.**

Director of Community Development stated that any other proposal for the site would garner the same safety concerns. She also stated that the County was reluctant to allow access from Juanita Street in the community of Bryn Mawr because it was a residential area.

City Attorney Holdaway responded to comments, stating that the applicant was given a continuance at the Planning Commission level to try to address the issues; however, the safety issues were not addressed. The applicant indicated there was possible access through the community of Bryn Mawr, but to his knowledge that access possibility had not been explored. He then stated that the City Council was to base its decision upon the facts presented and not on speculation.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer called for a vote which carried unanimously.

CC-2006-124 – Public Hearing – Appeal of Planning Commission decision to deny a request to demolish one single-family home and accessory structure at 26657 Orange Avenue and to build 30 single-family homes on a three-acre project site located at the southwest corner of Orange Avenue at New Jersey Street (Continued from May 9 and to be continued to August 22)

- a. General Plan Amendment 04-03 to amend the General Offices to Mixed Use.
- b. Zone Change 04-03 to amend the Zoning Map from Administrative Professional to Planned Community
- c. Tentative Tract Map 16811 to subdivide three acres into 30 single-family small lots with a central park
- d. Precise Plan of Design 04-04
- e. Development agreement relating to affordable housing

Mayor pro tempore Brauer opened the public hearing and asked that anyone who could not be present on August 22 to speak at this time. No one came forward.

**Motion by Christman, seconded by Ziprick and unanimously carried to continue the public hearing to August 22.**

CC-2006-125 – Public Hearing – Appeal of Planning Commission decision to deny a request to demolish two existing homes and to build 12 two-bedroom apartments on .52 acres located at 10684-10690 Ohio Street in the North Central Neighborhood in an R-3, Multiple Family Residential Zone (Continued from May 9 and to be continued to August 22)

- a. General Plan Amendment No. 04-07 – from Medium Density (5-10 du/acre) to Very High Density (11-20/du/acre)



- b. Precise Plan of Design No. 04-15
- c. Variance No. 05-02 to encroach into front yard setback for a structure and parking space
- d. Development Agreement to provide three affordable units (1 very low income and 2 low income in addition to giving relocation priority to the Agency)

Mayor pro tempore Brauer opened the public hearing and asked that anyone who could not be present on August 22 to speak at this time. No one came forward.

**Motion by Christman, seconded by Ziprick and unanimously carried to continue the public hearing to August 22.**

**CC-2006-126 – Public Hearing – North side of Mound street, west of Shepardson Drive and part of an existing Loma Linda University property of 21.05 acres that is developed with other student housing structures, lecture facilities, laboratories and a church**

- a. Precise Plan of Design No. 06-02 – Construction of a 42,000 square-foot, three-story, 58-student apartment building with an underground parking garage.
- b. Variance No. 06-04 – Reduction of the front yard set back requirement from 25 feet to 18 feet to accommodate a larger entry lobby
- c. Certificate of Appropriateness – Demolition of two existing residential structures and an accessory building and project layout and design

As previously determined, Mayor pro tempore Brauer left the Council table due to a possible conflict of interest; Councilman Ziprick sat to constitute a quorum and vote. Councilman Christman chaired the item.

The public hearing was opened and Planning Technician Penaflorida presented the report into evidence, stating that the proposed project was located in the Institutional Zone and was part of the existing Loma Linda University campus which housed the Campus Hill Church as well as student housing structures.

He stated that the Planning Commission reviewed the proposed project and recommended that the City Council approve the project subject to the conditions contained in the staff report.

Ken Breyer, representing Loma Linda University, stated that in 2004, a campus design was presented that provided the following goals: 1) walkable campus; 2) secure environment; 3) establishment of a sense of place; 4) focus on research; 4) a Medical Center Strategic Plan; 5) preservation and improvements to open space; 6) enhanced quality of campus life; 7) an aesthetically pleasing campus.

He went on to say that the master plan was developed in several tiers to address the student life zone; student union and student housing expansion; and campus needs.

In response to questions, he stated that the Campus Historical District proposed to preserve historical buildings on campus.

He then went on to review campus needs, noting that student population continued to grow; that approximately 400 students could live in student housing. Other needs were the ability to walk to class, to be in a secure environment and to have quality of life space. He indicated that the student population numbered approximately 4,000, with an increase of about 1,000 students in the past five years. He also stated that the proposed units would include kitchenettes.

Bob Carpenter, Architect with Onyx Architects also addressed the City Council and showed various elevations and reviewed construction techniques.

No other public testimony was offered and the public hearing was closed.

Councilman Umeda spoke well of the architecture, but expressed concern about the building height of 47 feet from street level, noting that the Save Loma Linda Initiative limited building height to 35 feet; however, there was ambiguity as to how to measure.

Councilman Ziprick commented on traffic, inquiring as to how traffic would be addressed since a high percentage of traffic was due to institutional growth. Mr. Breyer responded that the proposed east/west road was a backbone road that would alleviate traffic on Anderson Street; a frontage road on campus, the location of which was yet to be determined, would allow for better circulation.



Robert Frost of LLU Foundation commented that the advantage of student housing was the reduction of vehicles entering and leaving the campus daily.

In response to questions, Director of Community Development Woldruff stated that the application was subject to the Permit Streamlining Act and the City Attorney confirmed that action was required within six months or the project would be deemed approved. Action would be required prior to the November 7, 2006 election at which the Save Loma Linda Initiative would be presented to the voters. He indicated that portions of the Initiative may be subject to challenge if passed by the voters.

Councilman Ziprick stated that he would like more time to confer with staff and LUAHSC regarding a traffic plan because some of the infrastructure that was anticipated to be built may be in doubt if the Save Loma Linda Initiative passed. He also suggested that the item be continued due to a change on the City Council.

In response to questions, City Attorney Holdaway stated that a moratorium could be considered if there were potential changes in building standards, such as building heights and lot sizes. He also stated that the proposed project did not require a resolution or ordinance; therefore, two out of three votes was required for project approval.

Extensive discussion ensued pertaining to parking, traffic and meeting with LLU officials regarding infrastructure and campus plans. In response to questions, the City Attorney indicated that Councilman Ziprick could attend meetings with LLU officials until the change on the Council occurred and the Rule of Necessity would determine which of four City Council Members with potential conflicts of interest would be allowed to participate in the discussion and act on the item.

**Motion by Umeda, seconded by Ziprick and carried to continue the item to July 25 and direct staff to schedule a meeting with LLUAHSC officials regarding future development and comprehensive planning issues.**

Mayor pro tempore Brauer returned.

The City Council recessed at 8:57 p.m. to allow consideration of a Redevelopment Agency Item and reconvened at 9:02 p.m. with all members present except Mayor Petersen.

**CC-2006-127 – Consent Calendar**

**Motion by Christman, seconded by Umeda and unanimously carried to approve the following items (Mayor Petersen absent):**

The Demands Register dated June 27, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$868,901.01 and payroll demands totaling \$196,971.61.

The May 2006 Fire Department Statistics Report for filing.

The Minutes of March 28 and 30, 2006 as presented.

Installation of a concrete slab for the 4,000 square-foot building at the Corporation Yard as complete and recordation of a Notice of Completion for Avila Construction, contractor.

Addendum to the Agreement between Willdan and Associates and the City to extend the term to June 1, 2008.

Acceptance of utility easement – Lot 7, Tract 16730, KB Homes on the south side of Mission Road between California Street and Ryland Homes.

Waste Delivery Agreement (WDA) between the County and the City relating to a contract rate change effective July 1, 2006.

Expenditure of funds from a State Homeland Security Grant for Emergency Management Software in Fiscal Year 2006-2007.

The City Council recessed at 9:03 p.m. and reconvened at 9:12 p.m. with all members present except Mayor Petersen.



**Old Business**

**CC-2006-128 – Report and consideration of Save Loma Linda Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure (Submitted 3/6/2006). Options to be considered: 1) Adopt without alteration on June 27 or within 10 days after it is presented; 2) Order an election at which the ordinance, without alteration, shall be submitted to a vote of the voters of the city; 3) Order a report pursuant to Election Code Section 9212**

As previously determined, Councilman Ziprick abstained due to a possible conflict of interest and left the Council table; Mayor pro tempore Brauer sat to constitute a quorum, vote, and chair the item.

The City Clerk presented the report noting that during the period the signatures were being verified, legal action relating to the University Village and Orchard Park Specific Plans was initiated, resulting in the Court ruling that the referenda relating to those projects violated the Voting Rights Act in that the petitions were not translated into Spanish. The Court further ruled that the violation extended to the subject Initiative; therefore pending further clarification from the Court, the Initiative process was suspended. On June 19, United States District Judge Audrey B. Collins reversed her decision and vacated the preliminary injunction previously issued, thus allowing the Initiative process to proceed. She indicated that sufficient signatures were verified to qualify placement of the Initiative on a ballot, and recommended that the City Council order preparation of a report pursuant to Election Code Section 9212 to be submitted no later than July 27.

City Attorney Holdaway clarified the various Court rulings and responded to questions, noting that the lawsuit was not over in that individuals opposing the referenda sued the City. When the Court of Appeals ruled on that issue and that precedent was in place, then a decision would be entered by the Federal Trial Court which may allow the Initiative to stay on the ballot or be removed from the ballot.

Councilman Christman commented that a report would provide a financial analysis of the impacts of the Initiative; that based upon the manner the Initiative was written, he was not willing to adopt it.

Discussion ensued.

**Motion by Christman, seconded by Umeda to order a report pursuant to Election Code Section 9212 as outlined in the report to be submitted at the July 25 City Council meeting.**

Councilman Umeda asked the City Attorney to prepare a legal analysis as to the constitutionality of the LLUAHSC exemption, equal protection, and separation of church and state. He noted that the City could file a lawsuit if the Initiative violated state law and asked the City Attorney to provide the procedure to challenge the unconstitutionality of the Initiative. He indicated that the analysis would be beneficial by providing the City with procedural options.

**Amended Motion by Christman, seconded by Umeda to order a report pursuant to Election Code Section 9212 as outlined in the report to be submitted at the July 25 City Council meeting and to direct the City Attorney to prepare a legal analysis as to the constitutionality of the Initiative.**

Mayor pro tempore Brauer asked if anyone present wished to comment. No one came forward. He then called for a vote on the motion as amended which carried. Mayor Petersen absent.

Councilman Ziprick returned.

**CC-2006-129**

**CRA-2006-034 - Joint meeting of the City Council and Redevelopment Agency relating to the 2006-2007 fiscal year budget**

- a. Report pertaining to the Connected Community Program (Continued to 7-18-06)
- b. Report pertaining to fire equipment
- c. Council Bill #R-2006-31 – Adopting the 2006-2007 fiscal year budget
- d. CRA Bill #R-2006-13 – Adopting the 2006-2007 fiscal year budget

The Redevelopment Agency reconvened at 9:26 p.m. with all members present except Board Member Petersen.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer stated that the Connected Community Program report was to be continued to July 18.



Director of Information Systems stated that meetings with the Finance Committee were needed regarding cost modeling and other approaches to the Connected Community Program; that the goal was to complete the fiber backbone and then discuss methods to connect the rest of the City.

City Manager Halloway presented a report outlining the major budget reductions within the Fire Department. He stated that the computer software for the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) was funded through a Homeland Security Grant.

Discussion ensued pertaining to adopting the budgets, but reserving the Connected Community Program until the report was presented on July 18; staffing the Fire Department; duties of the added Community Services Officer; increasing the Fire Department's budget relating to current staffing and station by \$50,000; postponing consideration of a second station and its associated funding; enhancing the trails system; planting more mature trees at Hulda Crooks Park and the Barton Road Overcrossing; modifying or eliminating the Barton Road Storm Drain Project.

City Manager Halloway stated that the Capital Improvement Budget included funding for a second station; and suggested that he be directed to submit a plan to improve the trail system.

James Walling, Chairman of the Trails Committee, stated that one of the tasks of the Committee was to complete a map for connecting trails to the Santa Ana Trail.

Motion by Umeda, seconded by Ziprick and carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-31 excepting the Connected Community Program, Fire Equipment and the duties of the Community Services Officer, if funded. Mayor Petersen absent.

**Resolution No. 2455**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, adopting the final budget for the City of Loma Linda for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 2006 and ending June 30, 2007

Motion by Brauer, seconded by Umeda and carried to adopt CRA Bill #R-2006-13 excepting the Connected Community Program, Fire Equipment, and the duties of the Community Services Officer, if funded. Board Member Petersen absent.

**Resolution No. 263**

A Resolution of the Loma Linda Redevelopment Agency establishing a final budget for fiscal year 2006-2007

City Council requested that consideration of a second station be agendaized for July 17.

The Agency Board recessed at 9:55 p.m. to allow completion of the City Council Agenda.

**New Business**

**CC-2006-130 – Award of contract for installation of a 6-foot high reinforced concrete block wall and 20-foot wide gate; construction of a concrete sidewalk and driveway at Mt. View Well No. 3**

Motion by Christman, seconded by Ziprick and carried to award the contract to Mission Construction, Perris for \$89,907. Mayor Petersen absent.

**CC-2006-131 – Award of contract for waterline improvements at Stewart Street and Prospect Avenue**

Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Christman and carried to award the contract to TK construction, Rimforest for \$289,109.49; construction inspection and materials testing to Cal West Consultants in an amount not to exceed \$25,255.

The City Council adjourned at 9:57 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday, July 17, 2006.

Approved at the meeting of

---

City Clerk

## City Council Minutes

Adjourned Regular Meeting of July 17, 2006

An adjourned regular meeting of the City Council was called to order by Mayor Petersen at 5:50 p.m., Monday, July 17, 2006, in the City Council Chamber, 25541 Barton Road, Loma Linda, California.

## Councilmen Present:

Mayor Floyd Petersen  
Mayor pro tempore Stan Brauer  
Robert Christman (Arrived 5:55 p.m.)  
Robert Ziprick  
Charles Umeda

## Councilman Absent:

None

## Others Present:

City Manager Dennis R. Halloway  
City Attorney Richard E. Holdaway

CC-2006-132 – Closed Session

- a. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation – Noel Christensen et al., v. City of Loma Linda et al., Case No. E038109 (Government Code Section 54956.9(a))
- b. Conference with Labor Negotiator Dennis R. Halloway pertaining to Meet and Confer process relating to San Bernardino Public Employees Association; Professional and Administrative Employees Association; and Unrepresented Employees (Government Code Section 54957.6)

The City Council recessed to consider the closed session items as listed and reconvened at 6:09 p.m. with all members present. City Attorney Holdaway stated that as to Item “a,” the Plaintiff filed a complaint against the City and the Court of Appeal recently ruled in favor of the City and denied a rehearing; however, the other party petitioned the State Supreme Court for a hearing. Relating to Item “b,” Agreement was reached with three of the four groups and the resolutions were on the agenda this evening for consideration.

Councilman Christman led the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. No items were added or deleted.

Oral Reports/Public Participation

Ovidiu Popescu, 25523 State Street and a member of the Save Loma Linda group, commented on the recent election; thanked those who voted for him; and stated that the Save Loma Linda group supported protecting the South Hills.

Mayor Petersen commented that 6,000 registered voters in Loma Linda chose not to vote in the June 6 election and stated that the City Council represented all residents whether or not they voted.

Conflicts of Interest

Mayor pro tempore Brauer declared a possible conflict of interest relating to the City Council South Hills Protection Measure because it pertained only to the South Hills and his residence was in close proximity.

\* \* \* \* \*

Mayor Petersen introduced visitors from Lao: Maniphanh Vongphosy, MD; Khamphithoune Somsamouth, MD; Souvankham Phommaseng, MD; and from Khmer, Chhor Daphea Chhea, MD.

Mayor Petersen then presented Councilman Umeda with a plaque describing his service to the City as a Planning Commissioner and Member of the City Council and commended him for his professionalism and service.

Scheduled and Related Items

CC-2006-133

CRA-2006-037 – Joint Public Hearing of the City Council and Redevelopment Agency pertaining to the sale of property and approving Housing Disposition Agreements between Hameed and Nasreen Barkat; Mari Angelo; Monique Ortiz and the City/Agency regarding 25966 Court Street, 10599 Lind Avenue and 10605 Lind Avenue

- a. Council Bill #R-2006-42 – Authorizing sale of 24966 Court Street by the Agency to Hameed and Nasreen Barkat
- b. CRA Bill #R-2006-14 – Authorizing the sale of 24966 Court Street and approving the Housing Disposition Agreement



- c. Council Bill #R-2006-43 – Authorizing sale of 10599 Lind Avenue by the Agency to Mari Angelo
- d. CRA Bill #R-2006-15 – Authorizing the sale of 10599 Lind Avenue and approving the Housing Disposition Agreement
- e. Council Bill #R-2006-44 – authorizing sale of 10605 Line Avenue by the Agency to Monique Ortiz
- f. CRA Bill #R-2006-16 – Authorizing the sale of 10605 Lind Avenue and approving the Housing Disposition Agreement

The Agency Board convened at 6:17 p.m. with all members present. Mayor Petersen chaired the item and opened the public hearing. The City Clerk/Secretary presented the report into evidence, stating that as part of its Affordable Housing Program, the Agency Board authorized the purchase of three manufactured homes to be placed on permanent foundations on single-family lots. Three families qualified to purchase the homes, two of which were relocating from the east side of Poplar Street in preparation for the Agency's housing project there. All three homes would carry long-term affordability covenants. She also stated that the Agency would provide interim first lien financing and at such time as refinancing was accomplished, the Agency would receive funding equal to 80 percent or more of the original sales price with the balance, if any, secured by a second deed of trust.

No other public testimony was offered and the public hearing was closed.

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Umeda and unanimously carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-42.**

**Resolution No. 2456**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda consenting to the approval by the Loma Linda Redevelopment Agency of an Agreement for the disposition of property for affordable housing use with Hamid Barkat and Nazrene Barkat (24966 Court Street)

**Motion by Brauer, seconded by Christman and unanimously carried to adopt CRA Bill #R-2006-14.**

**Resolution No. 265**

A Resolution of the Loma Linda Redevelopment Agency approving an Agreement for the disposition of property for affordable housing use with Hamid Barkat and Nazrene Barkat (24966 Court Street)

**Motion by Umeda, seconded by Christman and unanimously carried to adopt Council Bill #r-2006-43.**

**Resolution No. 2457**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda consenting to the approval by the Loma Linda Redevelopment Agency of an Agreement for the disposition of property for affordable housing use with Mari Angelo (10599 Lind Avenue)

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Brauer and unanimously carried to adopt CRA Bill #R-2006-15.**

**Resolution No. 266**

A Resolution of the Loma Linda Redevelopment Agency approving an Agreement for the disposition of property for affordable housing use with Mari Angelo (10599 Lind Avenue).

**Motion by Brauer, seconded by Ziprick and unanimously carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-44.**

**Resolution No. 2458**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda consenting to the approval by the Loma Linda Redevelopment Agency of an Agreement for the disposition of property for affordable housing use with Monique Ortiz (10605 Lind Avenue)

Motion by Christman, seconded by Umeda and unanimously carried to adopt CRA Bill #R-2006-16.

**Resolution No. 267**

A Resolution of the Loma Linda Redevelopment Agency approving an Agreement for the disposition of property for affordable housing use with Monique Ortiz (10605 Lind Avenue)

The Agency Board recessed at 6:22 p.m.

**CC-2006-134 – Consent Calendar**

Motion by Christman, seconded by Ziprick and unanimously carried to approve the following items:

The Demands Register dated June 30, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$854,678.53.

The Demands Register dated June 30, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$2,806,460.38 and payroll demands totaling \$233,777.80.

The Demands Register dated June 30, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$315,962.58.

The Demands Register dated June 30, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$833,772.76.

The Demands Register dated July 17, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$289,766.42 and payroll demands totaling \$218,520.20.

The Minutes of April 18, May 9 and 23; and June 13, 2006 as presented.

The June 2006 Fire Department Statistics Report for filing.

The Chamber of Commerce Quarterly Report for filing and disbursement of funds pursuant to the Agreement with the City.

Award of contract for Annual Citywide Traffic Striping and Pavement Marking Maintenance Service to California Traffic Maintenance, Inc., Burbank in an amount not to exceed \$37,355.

Council Bill #R-2006-35.

**Resolution No. 2459**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda establishing maximum refuse collection rates effective July 1, 2006 and repealing Resolution No. 2294

Renewal of annual contracts for services for fiscal year 2006-2007 with Clean Street for street sweeping services; Grace Building Maintenance for janitorial services for the Civic Center, Fire Station, Corporation Yard and Senior Center buildings; Republic Electric for traffic signal maintenance and repairs; Jose A. Valdes for meter reading services; Golden West Landscape for landscape services for the Civic Center, Barton Road Median and Loma Linda Easement Trail (Contract A) and Landscape Maintenance Assessment District No. 1 (Contract B); Albert Grover & Associates for monitoring traffic signals along Anderson Street and Barton Road.

Council Bill #R-2006-45, 46, & 47 relating to wages, hours and other terms and conditions of employment.



**Resolution No. 2460**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, modifying wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment for members of the San Bernardino Public Employees' Association

**Resolution No. 2461**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, modifying wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment for members of the Professional and Administrative Employees' Association

**Resolution No. 2462**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, modifying wages, hours, and other terms and conditions of employment for Management/Confidential Employees

Supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$25,000 to cover the remaining costs of the ParcelTrak Software License Agreement for fiscal year 2006/2007.

Supplemental appropriation of \$155,000 for additional costs to the Civic Center re-roof project.

**CC-2006-135 – General Plan Update (Continued from June 13)**

- a. Adopt and approve the Findings for Statements of Overriding Considerations (pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections 15043, 15091, 15092 and 15093) for the significant unavoidable adverse impacts related to Air Quality, Loss of Open Space, Biological Resources, Water Supply, and Traffic and Circulation that would result from implementation of the General Plan (October 2005)
- b. Council Bill #R-2005-55 – Approve and Certify the Final Program Environmental Impact Report (FEIR), which includes the Draft EIR, Response to Comments, and Mitigation Monitoring Program based on the Findings

Director of Community Development Woldruff introduced the items, stating that the remainder of the General Plan had been scheduled for July 25. She went on to say that the General Plan process had been ongoing for a number years with numerous public hearings and public meetings. The General Plan EIR went through public review in 2004; comments received subsequent to the review period were forwarded to the City Council along with responses; those comments received outside of the review period would be included in the public record, but not in the Final EIR.

She then introduced Lynn Calvert Hayes who responded to questions, stating that when the General Plan was evaluated, there was greater land use, greater trips, and greater households than the current General Plan Update provided. Initially there was also the potential of developing 2,000 dwelling units in the South Hills; however, the proposed General Plan Update allowed 1,185 units. Therefore, she was comfortable that worst case scenarios were evaluated for the EIR.

Mrs. Woldruff stated that letters were received from Raymond W. Johnson of the law firm of Johnson & Sedlack, who, in the past had represented the Save Loma Linda group and who raised several points that he felt were not addressed in the EIR. The letters were evaluated and it was determined that the EIR was adequate.

She also confirmed that the Planning Commission reviewed the Draft General Plan Update and approved of the revisions made by the City Council; that a report would be presented on July 25.

**Motion by Christman, seconded by Brauer and unanimously carried to adopt and approve the Findings for Statements of Overriding Considerations that would result from implementation of the General Plan (October 2005) and to adopt Council Bill #R-2005-55.**

**Resolution No. 2463**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda adopting the Findings for Statements of Overriding Consideration, certifying the Final Program Environmental Impact Report and approving the Mitigation Monitoring Plan for the General Plan Update Project



Mayor pro tempore Brauer commented that the document was reasonable and included a process that had been carefully studied.

CC-2006-136

CRA-2006-038 – Joint meeting of the City Council and Redevelopment Agency regarding the 2006-2007 fiscal year budget

- a. Report from the Fire Department pertaining to budget items
- b. Report from Information Systems Department pertaining to the Loma Linda Connected Community Program (LLCCP)
- c. Proposal from Information Systems Management solutions pertaining to the Loma Linda Connected Community Program and IT Projects

The Agency Board reconvened at 6:31 p.m. with all members present. City Manager Holloway stated that Councilmen Ziprick and Umeda, Chief Norris, and he met regarding the Fire Department budget items and arrived at a consensus of items that could be added to the budget, most of which related to the Emergency Operations Center (EOC), and amounted to \$98,200.

Councilman Ziprick added that most of the items related to emergency situations and would allow the City and EOC to operate for an extensive period of time under emergency conditions. Items consisted of lap top computers, software, and tools that would be replaced periodically. Councilman Umeda indicated that the focus was on emergency response and upgrading the EOC to ensure the City's ability to respond to an emergency situation such as earthquake or train derailment.

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Umeda and unanimously carried to include the Fire Department items as outlined in the fy 2006-2007 budget and appropriate the funds from reserves.**

Director of Information Systems Hettrick presented his report and Power Point presentation, noting that the LLCCP was rooted as a public benefit program that was merged with a public works philosophy and then applied to the communications revolution. It was not structured to be a profit center, but rather to enhance economic development. He reviewed the importance of fiber to the future of Loma Linda as a professional and technical community in order to be competitive in the national and international communications industry. He indicated that an LLCCP Advisory Board was established that was comprised of James Walling, president of the Chamber of Commerce; Norm Meyer, a citizen representative; Robert Frost from the City's finance Committee; Richard Hergert, LLUMC CEO; D.P. Harris, PhD and LLU CIO; Shane Elliott, Jerry Pettis VA Hospital CIO; Kirk Campbell, Loma Linda Academy CEO; and himself.

He then presented maps of the City that showed the locations of residents having a bachelor degree or higher, the median family income and Loma Linda occupations compared to the United States, showing that over 55% of Loma Linda residents were engaged in management, professional and related occupations. He then reviewed fiber infrastructure uses; workforce values and expectations; economic development opportunities; fiber build and customer equipment expenditures; the LLCCP Network asset value; potential customer revenue; and the various residential, professional, and commercial plans.

Mr. Hettrick then recommended completing the backbone with the sole source contractor previously selected; that the Advisory Board evaluate other services the City could offer; initiating a marketing program to residents to increase subscribers; returning with a report on the findings of the Advisory Board; and solving the staffing deficit.

A question-and-answer period followed. Mayor Petersen asked that the Advisory Board review the Program in-depth and provide recommendations regarding providing services to new development, commercial entities and existing homes.

Mr. Hettrick stated that the estimated cost to complete the backbone system was \$1.7 million and Mayor pro tempore Brauer suggested that the backbone be completed and that overbuild to provide service to existing homes be considered at a later date.

**Motion by Christman, seconded by Brauer and unanimously carried to include the Loma Linda Connected Community Program in the fy 2006-2007 budget.**

The City Council recessed at 8:11 p.m. and reconvened at 8:26 p.m. with all members present.

Richard Hergert, LLUMC CEO in charge of the health care ministries on campus and speaking on behalf of the Medical Center and LLUAHSC, addressed the City Council, favoring ongoing support of the Connected Community Program. He stated that he was in charge of a \$35 million budget, one third of which was spent on band width and telecommunications. He envisioned the LLCCP as a viable alternative to other service providers and stated that without the Program, his options would be limited.



The proposal from Information Systems Management Solutions was discussed next. Mr. Hettrick stated that the proposal was an alternate plan to provide a staffing level for the LLCCP as well as the IT staff for the City. He explained that the proposal would allow a mixture of outsource staff as well as internal staff to allow a skill level to be brought in to meet and address a need.

Mayor Petersen commented that the City needed technical support even without the Connected Community Program.

In response to questions, Mr. Hettrick stated that skilled persons were needed in the field of telecommunications development, an inspector and engineer. In addition, more support staff was needed for the day-to-day, hands on managing of equipment and services that had been deployed. Other positions that required very specific functions in network engineering were also needed

Councilman Christman suggested that the item be continued to July 25 to allow the City Council sufficient time to review the proposal.

Motion by Christman, seconded by Ziprick and unanimously carried to continue consideration of the proposal to July 25.

#### New Business

#### CC-2006-137 – Special Municipal Election November 7, 2006 – City Council South Hills Protection Measure

- a. Council Bill #R-2006-48 – Approving an Addendum to the General Plan Final Program EIR that evaluates and determines that the provisions of the South Hills Protection Measure are within the scope of the Program EIR and therefore, will not result in any additional environmental impacts
- b. Council Bill #R-2006-36 – Calling and Giving Notice for the November 7, 2006 Special Municipal Election to submit to the voters a City Council South Hills Protection Measure
- c. Council Bill #R-2006-37 – Providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for the City Council South Hills Protection Measure
- d. Council Bill #R-2006-38 – Setting priorities for filing written arguments regarding the City Council south Hills Protection Measure

Mayor pro tempore Brauer, as previously stated, declared a possible conflict of interest and left the Council table.

Director of Community Development Woldruff stated that the ballot measure was subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). The General Plan EIR covered all of the provisions included in the draft ballot measure because the ballot measure was taken directly from the Growth Management Element of the General Plan. Therefore, the Addendum was appropriate. She stated that the Base Density map did not include the clustered unit areas that could result from some of the other features that would allow for density transfers and density bonuses. The maximum number of units allowed with clustering would be 1,185 units, which was the number that several interested parties agreed to.

Mayor Petersen referred to a letter submitted by Kathy Glendrange just prior to tonight's meeting that enumerated flaws in the Measure.

City Attorney Holdaway indicated that the General Plan consultant could review the letter and respond, and suggested that if the City Council was not prepared to take action, then the item should be continued to July 25 when response could be provided. He noted that the Measure contained excerpts of the General Plan that was submitted for adoption; therefore no conflict existed.

Director of Community Development Woldruff stated that although the Planning Commission did not review the Measure, the Commission reviewed the Report on the General Plan and was supportive of the Measure as far as it related to the Growth Management Element of the General Plan. She elaborated that the proposal was presented to the Trails Development Committee and the Trails Development Committee recommended that the City Council approve incorporation of Alternative 2 into the Growth Management Element of the General Plan. She recommended that the Measure be modified to be compatible with the changes recommended by the Trails Development Committee, if that was the desire of the City Council. No opposition was noted.

Motion by Christman, seconded by Umeda and carried to continue the item to July 25. Mayor pro tempore Brauer abstained.

City Council Minutes  
July 17, 2006  
Page 7

Mayor pro tempore Brauer returned.

**Reports of Councilmen**

Mayor pro tempore Brauer commented that the City worked with the BIA to advertise homes for sale so there wasn't a proliferation of signs. He contacted the builders and their sales offices directly about the proliferation of signs, but there continued to be a large number of signs, particularly on weekends. He then asked that Code Enforcement assess fines and take appropriate action to enforce the Sign Code.

Mayor Petersen commented on his recent trip to the Ukraine.

Councilman Umeda reported graffiti at the tot lot at Hulda Crooks Park and suggested that rubberized pads be installed under the swing sets to avoid gullies under the swings.

The meeting adjourned at 8:58 p.m.

Approved at the meeting of

---

City Clerk



City of Loma Linda

City Council Minutes

Regular Meeting of July 18, 2006

A regular meeting of the City Council was called to order by Mayor Petersen at 7:05 p.m., Tuesday, July 18, 2006, in the City Council Chamber, 25541 Barton Road, Loma Linda, California.

Councilmen Present:	Mayor Floyd Petersen Mayor pro tempore Stan Brauer Robert Christman Robert Ziprick
Councilmen Absent:	Charles Umeda
Others Present:	City Attorney Richard E. Holdaway

Councilman Ziprick led the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. No items were added or deleted; no public participation comments were offered upon invitation of the Mayor; and no conflicts of interest were noted.

Scheduled and Related Items

CC-2006-138 – Presentation to Philip Binkley in recognition of his retirement

Mayor Petersen read the proclamation in its entirety and presented it to Mr. Binkley. Mr. Binkley acknowledged City Council Members and spoke briefly of his career and international travels with the band. He then presented each Council Member with a program and itinerary from the last international tour.

CC-2006-139 – Public Hearing – Council Bill #R-2006-34 – Determining costs related to Spring 2006 Weed Abatement Program

The public hearing was opened and Division Chief Crawford introduced the item and Fire Prevention Inspector Patterson, who reviewed the weed abatement procedure and costs. No other public testimony was offered and the public hearing was closed.

Motion by Christman, seconded by Ziprick and carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-34. Councilman Umeda absent.

**Resolution No. 2464**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda adopting a Report and Statement of Expenses for the Spring 2006 Weed Abatement Program and imposing a lien upon property for payment therefor

New Business

CC-2006-140 – June 2006 Election Results

- a. Council Bill #R-2006-42.1 – Confirming the June 6, 2006 Election Results
- b. Remarks from Councilmen
- c. Oath of Allegiance to City Councilmen-elect
- d. Presentation of Certificates of Election to City Councilmen-elect
- e. Seating of Councilmen and remarks from newly elected

Motion by Brauer, seconded by Christman and carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-42.1. Councilman Umeda absent.

**Resolution No. 2465**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California reciting the fact of the General Municipal Election held on June 6, 2006, declaring the result and such other matters as provided by law

Councilman Ziprick thanked family and friends and stated there was much to accomplish to move the community forward; issues relating to the General Plan, the South Hills, and traffic were yet to be resolved and he expressed his commitment to work toward solutions. He welcomed Dr. Rigsby and paid tribute to Councilman Umeda for his outstanding service to the community.

Mayor Petersen thanked those who voted and expressed concern over the 6,000 registered voters who chose not to vote. He stated that he tried to represent residents in a fair and straight forward manner; that although a vote may not please everyone, he did his best to listen and make the best decision for the betterment of the community and would continue that commitment. He welcomed Dr. Rigsby to the Council.

Dr. Rigsby thanked his family and supporters; congratulated the successful candidates and pledged to do the best he could, recognizing that not all decisions pleased all people.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer congratulated Councilmen Petersen and Ziprick and welcomed Dr. Rigsby as did Councilman Christman.

The City Clerk administered the Oath of Allegiance to City Councilmen-elect Petersen, Ziprick and Rigsby and presented them with Certificates of Election. The Councilmen took their seats at the Council Table.

**CC-2006-141 – Re-organization**

- a. Election of Mayor
- b. Election of Mayor pro tempore

The City Clerk conducted the election of Mayor. Robert Christman was nominated. No other nominations were made.

Motion by Petersen, seconded by Ziprick and unanimously carried to close nominations and instruct the Clerk to cast a unanimous ballot for Robert Christman as Mayor.

Mayor Christman then conducted the election for Mayor pro tempore. Stan Brauer was nominated. No other nominations were made.

Motion by Petersen, seconded by Rigsby and unanimously carried to close nominations and instruct the Clerk to cast a unanimous ballot for Stan Brauer as Mayor pro tempore.

**CC-2006-142 – Appoint City Council Members to the following Boards/Commissions**

- a. San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG)
- b. Omnitrans
- c. Inland Valley Development Agency (IVDA)
- d. San Bernardino International Airport Authority (SBIAA)
- e. Solid Waste Management Board
- f. San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District Advisory Commission on Water Policy
- g. California Joint Powers Insurance Authority Board of Directors (CJPIA)
- h. Board of Directors Confire JPA
- i. Zone 3 Flood Control

By common consent, the following appointments were made: SANBAG: Mayor Christman, delegate; Councilman Petersen, alternate; Omnitrans: Councilman Petersen, delegate; Mayor Christman, alternate; IVDA: Mayor Christman and Councilman Petersen, delegates; Councilman Ziprick, alternate; SBIAA: Mayor Christman delegate; Councilman Petersen alternate; Solid Waste Management Board: Councilman Rigsby delegate; Mayor pro tempore Brauer, alternate; San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District Advisory Commission on Water Policy: Mayor pro tempore Brauer, delegate; Councilman Petersen alternate; California Joint Powers Insurance Authority Board of Directors: Mayor pro tempore Brauer, delegate; City Manager Holloway, alternate; Board of Directors Confire JPA: Councilman Rigsby delegate; Councilman Petersen, alternate; Zone 3 Flood Control: Mayor Christman, delegate; Councilman Ziprick, alternate.



CC-2006-143 – Appointment of Council Member liaison to City Committees/Commissions/Boards

- a. Budget Committee
- b. Historical Commission
- c. Parks Recreation and Beautification Committee
- d. Planning Commission
- e. Senior Center Board
- f. Traffic Advisory Committee
- g. Trails Development Committee
- h. North Central Neighborhood Committee

By common consent the following appointments were made: Budget Committee, Councilman Petersen; Historical Commission, Councilman Ziprick; Parks Recreation and Beautification Committee, Mayor pro tempore Brauer; Planning Commission, no liaison – any Council Member may be contacted; Senior Center Board, Mayor Christman; Traffic Advisory Committee, Councilman Rigsby; Trails Development Committee, Councilman Ziprick; North Central Neighborhood Committee, Councilman Petersen.

Councilman Ziprick suggested that the Loma Linda Connected Community Program Board be formalized and that a Council liaison be designated. He asked that the item be placed on the August 22 agenda.

CC-2006-144 – Designate voting delegate and alternate for the 2006 League of California Cities Annual Conference September 6-9, San Diego

By common consent, any Council Member in attendance was designated as the voting delegate and City Manager Halloway was designated the alternate.

The meeting adjourned at 8:02 p.m.

Approved at the meeting of

---

City Clerk

Regular Meeting of July 25, 2006

A regular meeting of the City Council was called to order by Mayor Christman at 7:04 p.m., Tuesday, July 25, 2006, in the City Council Chamber, 25541 Barton Road, Loma Linda, California.

Councilmen Present:

Mayor Robert Christman  
Mayor pro tempore Stan Brauer  
Floyd Petersen  
Robert Ziprick  
Rhodes Rigsby

Councilmen Absent:

None

Others Present:

City Attorney Richard E. Holdaway

Mayor Christman led the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. No items were added or deleted; however, the consent Calendar Item relating to cost of Allied Telesis Network Equipment was amended from \$300,581 to \$322,360.74, noting that the amount in the agenda report was the correct amount.

**Oral Reports/Public Participation**

Ovidiu Popescu, 25523 State Street, stated that the Save Loma Linda Referenda pertaining to the University Village and Orchard Park Specific Plans were removed from the June 6, 2006 ballot by the Court; that on June 17, Save Loma Linda filed an appeal.

**Scheduled and Related Items**

**CC-2006-145 – Public Hearing – General Plan Update – Council Bill #R-2005-57 – Approve and Adopt all Elements of the Draft General Plan (June 2006)**

1. Introduction to the General Plan Elements (Element 1.0)
2. Land Use Element (Element 2.0)
3. Community Design Element (Element 3.0)
4. Economic Development Element (Element 4.0)
5. Housing Element (Element 5.0)
6. Transportation and Circulation Element (Element 6.0)
7. Noise Element (Element 7.0)
8. Public Services and Facilities Element (Element 8.0)
9. Conservation and Open Space Element (Element 9.0)
10. Public Health and Safety Element (Element 10.0)
11. Growth Management Element (Element 11.0)
12. General Plan Implementation Programs Element (Element 12.0)

Mayor Christman opened the public hearing. Lloyd Zola, consultant to the City for the General Plan process, addressed the City Council, stating that the Environmental Impact Report was previously certified and following the last meeting, the changes to the General Plan Update were referred to the Planning Commission for their review. He then recommended that testimony be taken; the public hearing be closed; and that the General Plan be adopted.

James Fonseca, 24214 Khan Drive, spoke regarding the property located at the corner of Oakwood Drive and Barton Road, which was presently designated as low density. He indicated that the property owner requested a density increase; that the residents of Laurel Heights met with the property owner; however, there was no resolution regarding density issues. He then asked that the desire of the 57 families in the Laurel Heights development to the south of the subject property be given consideration.

Mayor Christman recalled that an informal vote was taken sometime ago and the density allowed was 9.1 to 13 units per acre.

Kevin Lang, Executive Vice President of Finance and Administration for Loma Linda University Adventist Health Sciences Center (LLUAHSC), stated that over the past several years LLUAHSC employees actively participated in the General Plan Update process; the proposed General Plan appropriately addressed the needs of the City, LLUAHSC, and the surrounding communities for continued success. For years LLUAHSC worked with the City, SANBAG, and CALTRANS, in an effort to improve traffic and circulation issues, and LLUAHSC was committed to work for improvement in these areas. He then stated that LLUAHSC supported approval of the General Plan Update.

Donna Eaton, 11247 Volmer Drive in Laurel Heights, spoke about potential traffic if Oakwood Drive were to be connected to Reche Canyon, and stated that the infrastructure of Laurel Heights could not support an increase in density for the property at the corner of Oakwood Drive and Barton Road.

Councilman Petersen commented that the proposed General Plan did not allow traffic from Reche Canyon through Oakwood Drive.



Ovi Popescu, 25523 State Street, disagreed with Councilman Petersen's statement.

Jeanette Lewis, 24236 Kahn Drive in Laurel Heights, concurred with the statements of Mrs. Eaton and commented on recent vandalism in the area.

Jonathan Zirkle, 24247 Barton Road, supported the General Plan in addressing Oakwood Drive. He stated that the General Plan allowed Oakwood and Reche Canyon to connect because of a prior Initiative and as the General Plan was reviewed, it was noted that an unintended consequence of the prior Initiative would have a negative effect on the environment of the South Hills. He elaborated that although Oakwood Drive could potentially connect to Reche Canyon, the General Plan was formulated so that to do so would be economically infeasible.

He then spoke about his property at the corner of Oakwood Drive and Barton Road, stating that apartments were not planned for the property; the property across the street was designated 27 units per acre on one side and 13 units per acre on the other side; therefore, it was not sensible to place single-family homes on the subject site. It was also one of the few sites remaining that was within walking distance to LLU and LLUMC, which were expanding their campuses to the west. Initially the parcel was designated for up to 20 units per acres and then was reduced to 13 units per acre. Condominiums were under consideration.

Michael Christianson, 25241 Cottage Street, expressed concern about what he considered a public safety issue because of Oakwood Drive's ingress and egress issues due to the short distance from the signaled intersection.

No other public testimony was offered and the public hearing was closed.

In response to questions, Director of Community Development Woldruff stated that the Growth Management Element incorporated the changes requested by the City Council pertaining to Alternative 2; Alternative 2 as well as the Growth Management Element were available to the public with no substantive changes made, other than to combine Alternative 2 with the Growth Management Element. Most of the changes made were considered "clean up" to be certain there was consistency among all sections and that the maps contained the correct language.

She stated further that the Planning Commission had also reviewed Alternative 2 as well as the South Hills Designation that was incorporated into the Growth Management Element.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer commented on the letters that were distributed to Council Members just prior to the City Council meeting this evening.

Discussion ensued. City Attorney Holdaway responded to comments, stating that the changes were consistent with prior direction of the City Council and prior public hearing input, including input from the Planning Commission; final action could be made to put into effect the results of prior public input and the direction that was given. It was not necessary to re-notice a public hearing for minor corrections or changes made that were consistent with prior public input and prior City Council direction. If City Council found that the document before them was consistent with prior direction and consistent with the results of prior public hearings, then action could be taken.

Councilman Petersen asked whether or not the General Plan, if adopted, could be amended, and if so, what the procedure was. The City Attorney stated that the General Plan could be amended at any time that the City Council chose to implement the amendment process. An amendment could be proposed by a property owner or interested citizen, or City Council may give direction to implement amendments to address future issues. He stated that a General Plan was a "living document," and was intended, by State Law, to change on a regular basis to reflect changes in the community and in society in general. He elaborated that State Law provided for multiple amendments on an annual basis of any substantive provision.

Community Development Director Woldruff elaborated that State Law allowed four amendments per year; that the amendments could be bundled so that more than one amendment could be considered during one public hearing; that as long as the amendments were considered at one time, the environmental study covered all the amendments and the amendments were included in one process, all of them were considered to be one amendment.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer indicated that his questions were answered and he was comfortable with the document.

Councilman Ziprick commented on a statement contained in a letter from Kathy Glendrange, that both he and Mayor pro tempore Brauer lived within 250 feet of the area of the proposed initiative and the area of targeted open space. He asked how that was calculated because he lived within 250 feet of Hulda Crooks Park, but not within 250 feet of the wild land areas. He stated that he did not vote on issues relating to Hulda Crooks Park because of the proximity of his home; however, it was shown as part of the targeted open space even though it was part of the City's park system. He asked for guidance from the City Attorney as to whether inclusion of the park in the targeted open space created a conflict for him or if the park should not be considered as part of the targeted open space area.

The City Attorney stated that the General Plan, was taken as a whole and that even though one Council Member may have property in a particular area affected by the General Plan, the entire City Council had the right to participate in the ultimate decision to adopt or not adopt the General Plan. It was only in specific cases that potential conflicts needed to be analyzed more carefully. The entire General Plan could be voted as one item; however, various elements could be reviewed to address changes or concerns and then conflicts could be addressed regarding a particular element. If one action were to be taken, all Council Members could participate.

Councilman Ziprick commented further that the Growth Management Plan also contained the maps that showed Hulda Crooks Park as part of the targeted open space area.

Mayor Christman suggested that elements of the General Plan that may create a conflict of interest for Council members be considered separately and then all members could vote on the entire General Plan.

The Land Use Element was considered. Mayor pro tempore Brauer commented on the Oakwood Drive and Barton Road property that was discussed earlier, stating that other densities could be considered and density that was chosen previously was greater than what should be based upon the concerns expressed. He suggested the density be lowered if appropriate.

Community Development Director Woldruff stated that the property at the southwest corner of Oakwood Drive and Barton Road as well as the property at the northwest corner of University Avenue and Barton Road were both included in Medium High Density Residential land use designation and the Planning Commission had previously recommended that the properties be designated as 9.1 to 13 dwelling units per acre. The option of lowering the density to 5.1 to 9 dwelling units per acre (medium density) was also discussed. She stated that the density for the Laurel Heights community was 2.1 to 4.5 dwelling units per acre with lots ranging from 8,000 to 10,000 square feet with some lots being larger.

Discussion ensued.

**Motion by Brauer, seconded by Petersen, and unanimously carried that the Land Use Element related to the southwest corner of Oakwood Drive and Barton Road designate the site as Medium Density Residential (5.1 to 9 units per acre).**

Mayor pro tempore Brauer suggested that the property owner and residents work together to come to consensus as to an acceptable development.

City Attorney Holdaway stated that the motion would be in the context of an amendment to the document under consideration this evening.

Councilman Ziprick asked how to proceed with the Growth Management Element because of the potential conflict of interest. Community Development Director Woldruff stated that Hulda Crooks Park had always been considered a park and open space; however, it provided access to the South Hills. The Trails Development Committee included the park intentionally because they wanted to ensure that the trailheads occurred there. The park had always been parkland. She offered that the City Council could remove the park from the targeted open space designation because the property would continue to function as park and open space, regardless.

Councilman Ziprick stated that if Hulda Crooks Park was removed from the targeted open space designation, then he could vote on that element. City Attorney Holdaway stated that City Council could amend the draft General Plan to delete Hulda Crooks Park from the Targeted Open Space Map; that Councilman Ziprick could then vote on the Growth Management Element and the City Council Measure later on in the agenda.

**Motion by Petersen to remove Hulda Crooks Park from the Targeted Open Space Map.**

City Attorney Holdaway instructed Councilman Ziprick to abstain on the issue of whether or not Hulda Crooks Park would be removed from the Targeted Open Space Map.

Mayor Christman asked if City Council Members wished to consider each element of the General Plan individually or vote the General Plan in one motion so no one was excluded.

**Motion by Brauer, seconded by Petersen to adopt Council Bill #R-2005-57 to approve and adopt all elements of the Draft General Plan including the prior action taken to reduce the density for the parcel at the southwest corner of Oakwood Drive and Barton Road to Medium Density, 5.1-9 dwelling units per acre.**

City Attorney Holdaway stated that the motion was appropriate; however, the concern raised was whether Councilman Ziprick wished to disqualify himself. On the agenda tonight was also the Council-sponsored Measure that would include that same map. The intent was that the maps and the text from that Measure would be identical to those contained in the General Plan; therefore, if an action was taken that included that potential conflict, that issue of the conflict would then have to be addressed for the subsequent item this evening. Without taking the motion off the table, City Council could entertain a motion to amend the



motion by deleting Hulda Crooks Park from the Targeted Open Space Map. Councilman Ziprick would disqualify himself related to the amended motion.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer stated that in the past he recused himself from hillside issues due to the location of personal property. The park itself was not within 250 feet of his property, but he may not participate in the discussion as well.

The City Attorney clarified that if there was a motion to amend the motion to approve the General Plan by deleting Hulda Crooks Park from the Targeted Open Space Map, then Mayor pro tempore Brauer would have to determine whether on that particular issue of the park, he had a conflict of interest due to his residence in close proximity. If he determined no conflict existed, he could participate.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer recused himself in an abundance of caution. Councilmen Brauer and Ziprick left the Council Table.

**Motion by Petersen, seconded by Christman to amend the main motion to remove Hulda Crooks Park from the Targeted Open Space Map.**

Discussion ensued. The City Attorney stated that the State had strict rules that applied to parks for taking land out of the public park system, so it was logical to treat that property separately from other undeveloped hillside areas that were not part of the park system.

Councilman Rigsby asked about implications for the abstention in the event additions were made to Hulda Crooks Park. The City Attorney responded that the issue was whether a Council Member lived within a certain distance of the area designated on the map and if the park was not part of that, then there would be no implication. There may be speculative potential land for the use of added open space; however, the distance would not be affected.

Mayor Christman called for the vote, which **carried**. **Councilmen Brauer and Ziprick abstained; Councilman Rigsby opposed.**

Councilmen Brauer and Ziprick returned. Mayor pro tempore Brauer asked if he should withdraw his previous motion. The City Attorney stated that the Elements were not separated; there were two motions: one to amend the entire draft with regard to Hulda Crooks Park and the motion to approve the entire General Plan, which was still to be voted.

Community Development Director Woldruff clarified that pursuant to the Draft General Plan, Oakwood Drive could not connect to Reche Canyon Road for through traffic; it could only connect for emergency vehicles. She also clarified that the Alan Break Estate was not formally added to Hulda Crooks Park, but it had always been considered part of Hulda Crooks Park, and would be removed from the Targeted Open Space Map, based upon the direction of the City Council.

The City Attorney stated that it was the finding and intent of the City Council that the Draft General Plan was consistent with prior City Council direction and with input from public hearings, that it was in effect the culmination of that work; that the previous General Plan Amendments were for Special Planning Area D and the General Plan Amendment this evening were intended to be consistent with those prior actions taken as part of the Specific Plan approval process.

Mayor pro tempore Brauer and Councilman Petersen concurred that the City Attorney's statement was part of the motion and second.

Mayor Christman asked for a vote on the original motion as amended, **which carried**. **Councilman Rigsby opposed.**

**Resolution No. 2466**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda approving the General Plan Update Project and adopting the General Plan (June 2006)

The City Council recessed at 8:30 p.m. and reconvened at 8:41 p.m. with all members present. Mayor Christman called on Lt. Guerra of the San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department to speak regarding recent crime in the City. Lt. Guerra stated that the suspects involved in the attempted rape and homicide were in custody. He stated that preventative measures could be taken by the public to protect themselves, such as general and personal safety awareness. The Sheriff's Department offered safety courses periodically and a course was scheduled at the Senior Center in the near future. The course was available for other locations as well. He also stated that the City Council, as part of the budget, increased the contract of the Sheriff's Department to add two deputies and one Sheriff Service Specialist. Lt. Guerra stated that four individuals were apprehended during a burglary in the City of Highland that resulted in resolving some of Loma Linda's burglaries.

CC-2006-146 – Public Hearing – North side of Mound Street, west of Shepardson Drive and part of an existing Loma Linda University property of 21.05 acres that is developed with other student housing structures, lecture facilities, laboratories and a church (Continued from June 27, 2006)

- a. Precise Plan of Design No. 06-02 – Construction of a 42,000 square-foot, three-story, 58-student apartment building with an underground parking garage
- b. Variance No. 06-04 – Reduction of the front yard set back requirement from 25 feet to 18 feet to accommodate a larger entry lobby
- c. Certificate of Appropriateness – Demolition of two existing residential structures and an accessory building, and project layout and design

City Attorney Holdaway stated that the item was before the City Council previously and the Rule of Necessity was invoked due to conflicts of interest; Councilman Umeda was still seated and participated. Since there was a new member on the City Council, it was appropriate to draw straws as to any Council Members who specified a conflict of interest in this matter. Councilmen Petersen, Brauer and Ziprick previously declared conflicts of interest. Councilman Rigsby stated that his conflict involved his employment by one of the faculty practice corporations under LLUAHSC in addition to employment in a subcontracting capacity with LLUMC. Straws were drawn, resulting in Councilmen Ziprick and Rigsby sitting to constitute a quorum and vote. Councilmen Petersen and Brauer left the Council Table.

The public hearing was opened and Planning Technician Penaflorida presented the report into evidence, stating that the request was to demolish three structures in order to construct a new 42,000 square-foot, three-story, 58-student apartment building with underground parking. The variance request pertained to reducing the front setback requirement from 25 feet to 18 feet to accommodate a larger entry lobby. The project was part of 21 acres commonly known as the Campus Hill area and that the public hearing was continued from June 27 so that LLUAHSC could provide information regarding the campus master plan as requested by the City Council.

Kevin Lang, Executive Vice President of Finance and Administration for LLUAHSC, provided an insight into the master plan for LLU. He stated that the plan was coordinated with the City and the General Plan and involved subsidiary corporations of LLUAHSC: Loma Linda, University, Loma Linda University Medical Center, the Behavioral Medical Center, LLU Healthcare and Faculty Practices, as well as Health Services that provided services to all the corporations.

He outlined the 10 major goals and objectives to the plan:

- 1) A walking campus with autos moving about the periphery of the campus;
- 2) Security for the entrances into the campus;
- 3) Appropriate signage and features to announce arrival at the campus;
- 4) Increase research facilities and ability to transfer technology from the institution to society;
- 5) Ensure that the master plan supports the strategic plans of the institution;
- 6) Enhance the quality of life of visitors, students, patients and employees;
- 7) Provide opportunities for spiritual, physical, professional and relational growth;
- 8) Increase/improve landscaping and open spaces for an aesthetically pleasing campus
- 9) A campus that functions well;
- 10) Focus on signage, way-finding, building design consideration, site considerations, landscaping, pedestrian/building relationship, historical considerations, and transitions between zones and between the campus and the community.

He then addressed the various zones of the campus with focus on the main campus, noting that the railroad tracks divided the campus north/south; with the north part of the campus identified as the Development Zone, which was now mostly vacant land. There was also the Academic Zone, which was primarily classrooms and student life area and administrative offices; Health Care Zone, which was the Medical Center and Children's Hospital, the faculty practice buildings; Student Life Zone and East Campus Zone.

He noted that the Centennial Complex was 350,000 square feet; to the west of the Centennial Complex, a parking structure was anticipated to be constructed within the next five years; to the east of the Centennial Complex was a utility plant with planned expansion. Within the Health Care Zone, many projects were planned, such as an ambulatory services building of 140,000 square feet to provide outpatient services; a parking structure north of the ambulatory services building, noting that most parking would be peripheral to the campus; however, patient parking would be located near point of service. The Historical District would maintain heritage of the campus. A dental annex of approximately 8,000 square feet was also planned as well as a parking structure next to the Family Medical Offices at Anderson Street and Barton Road. Another parking structure was planned for north of West Hall, which was anticipated to be employee parking. In the Student Life Zone, expansion to Lindsay Hall was anticipated. The Historical District would include three cottages designated to house artifacts. The Extension of Daniels Hall student housing was the topic of discussion this evening. Renovation was also anticipated for the student union, which housed the cafeteria, bookstore and other student services. Overflow parking while construction occurred at the various sites was also planned. Mr. Lang stated that parking needs were reviewed annually.



Development was expected to occur north of the railroad tracks in the distant future, perhaps in the next 10 to 20 years; however, actual uses had not been determined.

Mr. Lang confirmed that the student population continued to grow, as had employment by the various corporations. Outpatient volume for the Medical Center had grown 2-1/2 percent per year and inpatient had grown 1.4 percent; faculty practices grew 1.4 percent, which equated to approximately 500,000 visits per year. He stated that between 800 and 1,000 employees were working off campus in facilities of approximately 400,000 square feet, some of which were rented.

He also stated that LLUAHSC participated in plans to decongest the I-10/Anderson/Tippecanoe Interchange by way of design improvements; funds had been appropriated for the project. Funds were also appropriated and designated for the Evans Street/I-10 connection; design was in progress and coordinated with SANBAG, the City, and Caltrans to provide a westerly access road to the campus. Mr. Lang stated that there were 7,000 parking spaces among the various entities; two-thirds of which were utilized by employees and students and one-third by patients.

Discussion ensued.

Stewart Albertson, 25965 Reed way, expressed concern about the effect the Save Loma Linda Initiative would have on the growth plan of the institutions, noting that the Initiative exempted LLUAHSC from certain traffic mitigation measures; also as the student population and medical services grew, more housing was needed, particularly affordable housing; in this time period most cannot afford homes on 7200 square foot lots. He supported the presentation by LLUAHSC and the subject project.

No other testimony was offered and the public hearing was closed.

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Rigsby and carried to adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration; approve Precise Plan of Design No. 06-02; Variance No. 06-04 and Certificate of Appropriateness. Councilmen Brauer and Petersen abstained.**

Councilmen Petersen and Brauer returned.

CC-2006-147 – Consent Calendar

**Motion by Petersen, seconded by Brauer and unanimously carried to approve the following items:**

The Demands Register dated June 30, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$838,450.78.

The Demands Register dated July 25, 2006 with commercial demands totaling \$77,279.39.

The June 2006 Treasurer's Report for filing.

Agreement for Professional Services with Lilburn Corporation for Planning and Consultant Staff Services and Supplemental Appropriation of \$15,000 to cover the costs of preparation of the report on the Save Loma Linda Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure.

Supplemental appropriation to cover \$322,360.74 in remaining costs for Allied Telesis Network Equipment that was budgeted in the fy 2005-2006 budget but did not meet the shipping deadline.

Old Business

CC-2006-148 – Connected Community Program and IYT Projects, including proposal from Information Systems Management Solutions (Continued from July 17)

Mayor Christman introduced the item. City Attorney Holdaway stated that the City Council was faced with a particular need to provide additional staffing and support for the Connected Community Program. The agenda item pertained to consideration of outsourcing certain aspects of service. If the City Council preferred to retain the positions as City positions, direction may be given. If staff wished to add personnel which would affect the budget, then that would be brought back as a budget amendment.

Mayor Christman supported retaining personnel in-house, noting that suggested staffing was provided and therefore with the concurrence of the City Council, he directed that the City Manager add positions as necessary so that the services could be provided in-house and that a budget amendment be submitted for consideration on August 22.

CC-2006-149 – Special Municipal Election November 7, 2006 – City Council South Hills Protection Measure (Continued from July 17)

- a. Council Bill #R-2006-48 – Approving an Addendum to the General Plan Final Program EIR (prepared for the General Plan Update Project) that evaluates and determines the provisions of the South Hills Protection Measure to be within the scope of the General Plan Update Project and will not result in any additional environmental impacts
- b. Council Bill #R-2006-36 – Calling and Giving Notice for the November 7, 2006 Special Municipal Election to submit to the voters a City Council South Hills Protection Measure
- c. Council Bill #R-2006-37 – Providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for the City Council South Hills Protection Measure
- d. Council Bill #R-2006-38 – Setting priorities for filing written arguments regarding the City Council South Hills Protection Measure

Mayor pro tempore Brauer noted a potential conflict of interest due to the proximity of personal property and left the Council Table. The City Clerk presented the report pertaining to the election procedure. Director of Community Development Woldruff confirmed that the South Hills Protection Measure was taken verbatim from the Growth Management Element of the General Plan, which was previously adopted. The Addendum to the Final Program EIR was prepared by LSA; the EIR was certified on July 17. She clarified that the maps for the Measure as well as the Addendum would be changed, based upon previous action this evening.

Those speaking were: James Walling, Chairman of the Trails Development Committee, who supported the Measure to protect the trails and the South Hills; Michael Christianson, Chairman of the Planning Commission, supported placing the Measure on the ballot.

Charles Umeda, 25110 Tulip Avenue, stated that he and Councilmen Ziprick considered it important that hillside property owners, developers, and interested citizens met to work out a compromise that would result in a public resource of great value to the City and its residents. The Measure provided an opportunity to protect the hills; create over 2,000 acres of open space that would be accessible to the public and would connect to recently purchased property to protect the Jedi area, while providing a balance between growth, development, open space and recreation.

He then displayed maps showing base densities, City-owned land, portions of the hills that were included in the former Initiative area, targeted open space area, signature ridgelines, those areas more conducive to development, cluster area, and stated that much of the South Hills was privately owned, excepting that owned by the City. He stated that voter approval of the Measure would result in preservation of over 2,000 acres as permanent open space for recreational purposes and would designate the areas for limited development.

Councilman Ziprick elaborated that the City Council asked the Trails Development Committee to develop a plan for open space and trails; a workshop was held recently involving all committees with participation from the community, which resulted in the drafting of the Measure. He noted that the City recently purchased property in Riverside County that would allow connection of Loma Linda trails as well as open space and trails in Riverside County to trails in Loma Linda.

City Attorney Holdaway stated that the City Council Measure was based entirely on the exact language and map created by the passage of the General Plan Growth Management Element, including the Growth Management provisions.

Lyn Foll, 25612 Carroll Court, member of the Trails Development Committee, supported the Measure.

The City Attorney also clarified that the City Council Measure contained a provision that if both Measures passed and if the City Council sponsored Measure garnered the most votes, it would prevail and the Save Loma Linda Measure would not go into effect. Therefore, no conflict would exist. He also noted that the language contained in the City Council Measure was standard language.

**Motion by Petersen, seconded by Ziprick and carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-48. Councilman Brauer abstained.**

**Resolution No. 2467**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda approving the Addendum to the General Plan Program Environmental Impact Report for the South Hills Protection Measure

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Petersen and carried to adopt Council Bill #R - 2006-36. Councilman Brauer abstained.**



**Resolution No. 2468**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, calling and giving notice of the holding of a Special Municipal Election to be held on Tuesday, November 7, 2006, for the submission to the voters of a proposed Council-sponsored measure

**Motion by Petersen, seconded by Ziprick and carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-37. Councilman Brauer abstained.**

**Resolution No. 2469**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for City Measures submitted at municipal elections

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Petersen and carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-38. Councilman Brauer abstained.**

**Resolution No. 2470**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, setting priorities for filing written argument(s) regarding City Measures and directing the City Attorney to prepare an impartial analysis

Mayor pro tempore Brauer returned.

**New Business**

**CC-2006-150 – Reports pursuant to Election Code Section 9212 pertaining to Save Loma Linda Initiative entitled, “Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure” (Submitted 3/6/2006)**

- a. Constitutionality of the exemptions for the Loma Linda University Adventist Health Sciences Center
- b. Environmental and Planning Impacts

Councilman Ziprick commented that the item pertained to the LLUAHSC exemption and four Council Members had conflicts as previously stated. The City Attorney stated that no action was required; therefore all members could participate. He also stated that a subsequent item on the agenda pertaining to the Save Loma Linda Initiative was a ministerial act to place the Initiative on the ballot and therefore all members could participate.

In response to comments, City Attorney Holdaway stated that a portion of his report quoted Article II, Section 12 of the California Constitution which contained language that prohibited the submission to the electors of an ordinance that contained certain elements, “No statute proposed by the electors by initiative, that names or identifies any private corporation to perform any function or to have any power or duty, may be submitted to the electors or have any effect.” He elaborated that it was not his conclusion that this particular Initiative may not be submitted to the electors because it also included a severance provision, so an invalid portion could be struck by a court and the remainder of the Initiative could become law.

He also stated that the fact that there was a potential legal defect in the Initiative could be litigated, but indicated that withholding the Initiative from the ballot was not an option of the City Council.

No action was required.

**CC-2006-151 – Special Municipal Election November 7, 2006 – Save Loma Linda Initiative entitled Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure**

- a. Council Bill #R-2006-39 – Calling and Giving Notice for the November 7, 2006 Special Municipal Election to submit the Save Loma Linda Initiative to the voters entitled Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure
- b. Council Bill #R-2006-40 – Providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for the Save Loma Linda Initiative entitled Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure
- c. Council Bill #R-2006-41 – Setting priorities for filing written arguments regarding the Save Loma Linda Initiative entitled Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure

Ovi Popescu, 25523 State Street, commented that Save Loma Linda was of the opinion that the report pertaining to the Save Loma Linda Initiative was inaccurate and misleading. He stated that the Initiative provided three exemptions for LLUAHSC and its related entities in that traffic impacts must be mitigated,

but traffic level of service was not required to be maintained; student housing could also exceed 35 feet in height; and compliance with residential standards of the Initiative was not required. He went on to say that the purpose of the Initiative was to prevent the worst provisions of the new General Plan from becoming effective; therefore it was inconsistent with the new General Plan; the Initiative allowed affordable housing; maintained the current residential standards, including the very high density and senior housing designations which allowed up to 20-25 units per acre respectively; and was similar to the Redlands Initiative

In response to questions, City Attorney Holdaway confirmed that the General Plan adopted this evening was effective. If the Council-sponsored Measure received a majority vote and more than the Save Loma Linda Initiative, then was part of a comprehensive General Plan Amendment and the provisions thereof were intended to act together. The Measure itself contained language to clarify that if the Council Measure obtained a majority of the votes, then it would entirely supersede the other initiative, including the elements unrelated to the hillsides.

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Brauer and unanimously carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-39.**

**Resolution No. 2471**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California calling and giving notice of the holding of a Special Municipal Election to be held on Tuesday, November 7, 2006, for the submission to the voters of a proposed initiative entitled Residential and Hillside Development Control Measure

**Motion by Brauer, seconded by Ziprick and unanimously carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-40.**

**Resolution No. 2472**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for City measures submitted at municipal elections

**Motion by Ziprick, seconded by Rigsby and unanimously carried to adopt Council Bill #R-2006-41.**

**Resolution No. 2473**

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Loma Linda, California, setting priorities for filing written argument(s) regarding City measures and directing the City Attorney to prepare an impartial analysis

**Reports of Councilmen**

Councilman Ziprick reported that Stewart Albertson, an attorney who has been active in the community would be joining his firm effective August 1.

The meeting adjourned at 10:32 p.m.

Approved at the meeting of

---

City Clerk